BEENSE SPECIAL WEAPONS AGENC


1660-176



Y 巴拉巴巴 of National Service The First

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

1947-1997 — A Brief History


DEFENSE SPECIAL WEAPONS AGENCY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Subject

Page

Initial Charter for the Armed Forces Special Weapons Project January 29, 1947
Introduction
Dawn of the Atomic Age 1941-1947
at the second
Discovery 1954-1963
Strategic Deterrence 1963-1971
Strategic Deterrence with Nuclear Parity 1971-1981
Force Modernization and the Demise of the Warsaw Pact 1981-1991
Post-Cold War Priorities 1991-



The First

 $\int U$

National Service

Photograph Credits

Acronym List

Future Challenges

SUBJECT: Armed Forces Special Weapons Project

Chief of Staff, United States Army Chief of Naval Operations.

1. On 1 January 1947, the Atomic Energy Commission established by the Atomic Energy Act of 1946 (Public Law 585, 79th Congress) took over the organization and properties of the Manhattan Project. 19

excepted from service with the Atomic Energy Commission, will be assigned to duty with the Armed Forces Special Weapons Project as of 31 December Armed Forces Special Weapons Project. Military personnel on duty with the Manhattan Engineer District at midnight 31 December 1946, and who are 2. There is established, effective midnight 31 December 1946, a joint Army-Navy atomic, energy organization which will discharge all military service functions relating to atomic energy and will be known as the

3. The Armed Forces Special Weapons Project will operate under a grand the Chief of Staff and the Chief who will be selected by mutual action of the Chief who will be selected by mutual action.

of the Military Liaison Committee to the Atomic Energy Commission. They Chief of Naval Operations. A Deputy Chief from the opposite service shall of Naval Operations. Both the Chief and Deputy Chief shall be members be also selected by the mutual action of the Chief of Staff and the Chief will be assisted by an appropriate staff drawn from the War and Navy

4. The Chief of the Armed Forces Special Weapons Project will: a. Assume responsibility for all military service functions Departments.

ination with the Commission), technical training of bomb commanders and weaponeers, and developing and effecting joint radiological safety mea-Forces, including training of special personnel required, military particof the Manhattan project as are retained under the control of the Armed b. Report directly to the Chief of Staff, United States Army, ipation in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination) in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination) in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination) in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination) in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination) in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination) in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination) in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination) in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination) in the development of atomic weapons of all types (in coordination) in the development of a coordination (in coordination) in the develop

been transferred to the Atomic Energy Commission. Pay of military personbeen transferred to the Atomic Energy Commission. Pay of military personbeen transferred to the Atomic Energy Commission. Pay of military personance in the Atomic Energy Commission. vided initially from those funds of the Manhattan Project which have not 5. Funds, other than for pay of military personnel, will be proand the Chief of Naval Operations.

SECRETARY OF WAR

* Reproduced text of January 29, 1947 AFSWP charter.

n July 16, 1945, at the TRINITY site in the sands of New Mexico, the first atomic weapon was detonated. That day brought the first glimpse of the power and potential for destruction now in the hands of mankind. Soon that potential was transformed into two enormous nuclear arsenals — one in the hands of the Soviet Union and one in the hands of the Soviet Union and one in the hands of the Linited States. Throughout the Cold War, we lived with the threat of nuclear holocaust hanging over our heads like a dark cloud,

It was during this period that the agency we now know as the Defense Special Weapons
Agency (DSWA) emerged as one of the key stewards of our nation's nuclear weapons capability. Chief among its long history of accomplishments is that maintaining our nuclear

TRINITY marker at Alamogordo, New Mexico.

arsenal actually helped prevent that nuclear holocaust and the destruction of our nation.

Today, the Cold War is over, the nuclear arms race has ended, the dark cloud has lifted and all the world breathes easier. But the nuclear threat has not gone away.

Instead, we face the threat of nuclear weapons, technology and materials falling into the hands of rogue nations or terrorists. We still must maintain and operate a smaller but highly powerful nuclear arsenal as a deterrent. We have embarked on a brave new era of nuclear arms reductions, bold new safeguards and innovative nuclear technologies, and we have an even greater need for DSWA.

The men and women of DSWA are leading the way into this new era. Your dedication and patriotism helped us survive nearly 50 years of nuclear terror. It will be your innovation and your continued hard work that will make the future safer and brighter for all mankind.



Secretary of Defense William Perry

No one knows what challenges the future might hold. But I do know that the people of DSWA are equal to those challenges. I extend my congratulations to them for their impressive contributions to our national security, and offer my best wishes for even greater achievements in the years ahead.

William J. Perry

Secretary of Defense

1941-1947 — Dawn of the Atomic Age

1941-1947—Dawn of the Atomic Age: The Manbattan Project provides America with the means to terminate World War II promptly and decisively.

1941 - Pearl Harbor attacked

1942 - Manhattan Project initiated

1943 - Allies begin offensive operations

1944 - D-Day in Europe

1945 - Hiroshima/Nagasaki bombed - War ends

1946 - Atomic Energy Act

1947 - AFSWP established



Albert Einstein and J. Robert Oppenbeimer.

Scientific Discoveries Before nuclear model for the atom based lished papers in 1939, essentially the Manhattan Project: In 1911, induced fission of uranium. This discovered fission products after Ernest Rutherford proposed the neutrons. Lise Meitner and Otto discovery led to over 100 pub-Otto Hahn and Fritz Strassman the irradiation of uranium with defining the modern theory of on experimental data. In 1938, Frisch interpreted this data as being caused by the neutron-

atomic fission. In a letter drafted by President Franklin D. Roosevelt on construction of... extremely powerpossible to set up a nuclear chain reaction in a large mass of urani-August 2, 1939: "It may become his colleagues, Einstein wrote to um, which would... lead to the ful bombs...

'nvolvement: The National Defense Research Council, under Vannevar 3ush, supported research in 1940develop an atomic bomb. Dr. Bush summer of 1942 to assure priority proposed to transfer management Shortly before the attack on Pearl Research and Development estabof the project to the Army in the development was not "remote." Preliminary Government ished an office (OSRD S-1) to Harbor, the Office of Scientific 1941 that indicated weapons and establish security.

Corps of Engineers, issuing General Order 33, established the Manhat-District: On August 13, 1942, the The Manhattan Engineer

Alamogordo, New Mexico.

tan Engineer District (MED), cover opment project. Brigadier General name for the atomic bomb develmanding officer of the MED from Leslie R. Groves served as com-September 23, 1942, through

effort. Together, they chose the Los Oppenheimer to lead the scientific The Manbattan Project: General Groves selected Dr. J. Robert Mexico as the site for the atomic Alamos Ranch School in New laboratories.

reactor under Stagg Field, University of Chicago. Techniques for aerial December 2, 1942, Enrico Fermi's Dawn of the Atomic Era: On the newly-produced B-29 bomber Mexico and Wendover Field, Utah delivery of atomic weapons were personnel worked with the Army Air Corps at Wendover to modify group operated the world's first and refine bombing techniques. developed at Los Alamos, New between 1943 and 1945. MED self-sustaining nuclear fission

-- TRINITY: 100 tons of high explosives were detonated on hearsal for the TRINITY event. TRINITY phenomena. Then, on July 16, 1945, Los Alam-May 7, 1945, as a dress reos personnel detonated an implosion-type plutonium It also served to calibrate near the remote town of instruments to measure device, named TRINITY,

on August 9, Fat Man Nagasaki: On August agreed to surrender, over Hiroshima, and, over Nagasaki. Soon Hiroshima and thereafter the Japadropped Little Boy 6, 1945, the 509th Composite Group nese government

3-11

TRINITY - July 16, 1945.

ending World War II on September 2, 1945.

postwar surveys of both Hiroshima and Nagasaki. They also participatpated in initial bomb damage and radiation assessments, as well as Bombing Surveys and Postwar Planning: MED staff partici-Lilienthal Plan that the U.S. preed in planning for international controls, including the Baruchsented to the United Nations.

the first postwar atomic test series Operation CROSSROADS: In 1946, MED personnel organized


Transition to the Armed


'RINITY High Explosive


Ocean. These tests demonstrated the effects of atomic weapons on surface ships, including the hazat the Bikini Atoll in the Pacific ards of long-term radioactive contamination.

civilian Atomic Energy Commission weapons, production facilities, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1946 on The Atomic Energy Act of *1946:* President Truman signed patent rights to a five-member (AEC), effective December 31, August 1, transferring atomic

defensive atomic warfare, assessing War Robert P. Patterson and Secre-On January 29, 1947, Secretary of Special Weapons Project (AFSWP) tary of the Navy James V. Forrestal AEC. AFSWP assured readiness by Forces Special Weapons Project: the successor organization to the he effects of these new weapons, MED. It assumed all functions of and supporting postwar national the MED not transferred to the training for both offensive and established the Armed Forces defense planning.







1947–1954 — Early Years of the Armed Forces Special Weapons Project

4-11

access challenges along corridors

Russian troop maneuvers and

to Berlin, led to the first postwar

U.S. plan for atomic bombardment

of Soviet targets.

the Soviet Union, set the stage for later Cold War relations. 1947-1954—Early Years of the Armed Forces Special War, along with atomic testing by the United States and Weapons Project: The Berlin Blockade and the Korean

- 1947 Marshall Plan funds European Recovery Program
- $\mathbf{1948}$ Berlin Blockade begins in April 1948 ends in May 1949
- 1949 Formation of NATO First Soviet nuclear test

Atoll during Operation SANDSTONE

fission weapon tests at Enewetak

AFSWP participated in all three

Weapons Effects Testing:

developed improved instruments to

measure weapons blast and shock


environments during future nuclear weapon tests. Accelerating Assembly Team

reports on weapons effects. AFSWP

in 1948, and prepared technical

- 1950 North Korea attacks South U.S. leads military response
- 1951 Truman relieves General MacArthur
- 1952 Eisenhower elected President
- 1953 Korean Armistice signed in July
- 1954 Ballistic missile development accelerated

Establishing a Deterrent Initial Charters: The original



ons. It encouraged AFSWP particiand employment of atomic weap-

authorized AFSWP to coordinate

research in atomic energy.

Training for Custody of

atomic weapons of all types. A

revised charter in July 1947

pation in the development of

authorized training for assembly

AFSWP charter in January 1947



AFSWP storage and surveillance

charter further provided for

armed forces. Until the Korean

civilian AEC. In 1947, at Sandia

Base in Albuquerque, New Mexico, AFSWP initiated a training course on atomic

mained in the custody of the

War, all atomic weapons re-

Firing party prior to MIKE test.

weapons assembly procedures.

from Sandia Laboratory taught

weapons, where instructors

Clay, Commander in Chief, Europe (CINCEUR), in advance of massive





GRABLE test explosion.

Weapons (1950), prepared jointly effects of atomic weapons. This report, The Effects of Atomic resulted in the first integrated

Through the Berlin Airlift: In June stocks and supplies. President Trufuly 1948, to signal a U.S. commitman approved the deployment of B-29 bombers to British bases in rail access to Berlin led to a joint ment to deter military agression 1948, the blockade of road and Anglo-American airlift of foodand the occupation of Western Sea Extending Deterrence

bomb assembly teams, the forward 1948, AFSWP supported the Strate-Anglo-American deception plan in signaling a forward deployment of prospective forward bases. In July atomic weapons two years before capabilities seemed imprudent in With a total stockpile of about 50 Signaling Readiness While atomic bombs and a shortage of gic Air Command (SAC) and an Protecting the Atomic Arsenal: deployment of the U.S. atomic the absence of air defenses at



A U.S. tank rolls through Chunchon, Korea.

1947–1954 — Early Years of the Armed Forces Special Weapons Project



Area 7 ground zero target.

AFSWP personnel accompanied the B-29 groups during their deceptive such deployments occurred. move to British air bases.

the blockade ended in the spring of arrived at British bases. The Berlin without Russian interference, until Success of the Berlin Airlift (NATO): The last verbal threat to Allied use of the Berlin air corridors occurred on July 14, 1948, 1949. AFSWP teams quietly preseveral days before SAC B-29s Atlantic Treaty Organization airlift expanded its deliveries, and Formation of the North

pared for forward assem Royal Air Force bases in bly operations in 1949-1950, and deployed to the United Kingdom in

Program emerged in 1955.

Weapons Vulnerability

Sandia Pioneers within the Coordinating Weap division began informally coordinating weapons laboratory's ordnance ons Requirements:

requirements in 1947. The Septem Staff (JCS) on the potential applicaber 1949 detection of debris from intensified U.S. efforts to maintain lanuary 1950 decision to approve the first Soviet atomic test, JOE-1, conducted research on weapons tions of thermonuclear weapons its technological lead. AFSWP's Chief briefed the Joint Chiefs of development of these weapons. preceding President Truman's vulnerabilities and nuclear Jointly the AEC and AFSWP weapons effects.

1950, the Military Liaison Commitdesign of air-to-air and surface-toasked AFSWP to study and test the air weapons for air defense: Nike - Developing a Weapons Vulvulnerability of nuclear weapons. ee, composed of Department of Ajax, Nike Hercules, and Genie, The results helped support the nerability Program: In March among others. A formal AFSWP Defense (DoD) and AEC staff,

planning and coordinating

Service needs for nuclear


1951, the JCS assigned to

- Test Planning: In

Operation GREENHOUSE at Enewetak



Fruman decided in November 1950 of nuclear component stockpiles at Sandia Base went on alert status for deployed aboard three U.S. aircraft assembly teams within 12 hours of entered the Korean war, President carriers in 1950-1951. Managers Atomic Diplomacy and the airplane delivery of both nuclear considered for use. AFSWP units insertable-core components and notification, per AFSWP order of that the atomic bomb could be Korean Armistice: After China April 24, 1951.



continental test site, settling

on the Nevada Test Site

AEC jointly searched for a

(NTS). AFSWP subsequently


effects experiments at the

sponsored many nuclear

Protective lead-glass cloth shroud being placed on sampler pilot.

an all-time high of nearly 11,000 in dures for nuclear technical inspec-AFWSP personnel strength reached standards for training, and proce-Weapons Custody to the Military Services: AFSWP's July 1951 charter called for technical directives, Services for training in weapons echnical inspection of weapons. Preparing for Transfer of accepted military personnel deoperational site storage, and in tailed from each of the military During the Korean War, AFSWP assembly and maintenance, in tions by the military Services. 1951-1952.

GREENHOUSE GEORGE, conducted Test Implications for Civil Defense: In the 225-kiloton test,



DEFENSE SPECIAL WEAPONS AGENCY

PRESIDENTS



1933-1945



Franklin Roosevelt





Harry Truman

1953-1961



Dwight Eisenhower

1961-1963



John Kennedy

9 4 0

1950

1960







ARMED FORCES SPECIAL WEAPONS **PROJECT**

DEFENSE ATOMIC SUPI AGENCY

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Pearl Harbor

WWII Ends

Korean War

Suez Crisis

Vietnam War Starts

Gulf of

Tonkin

E. Europe falls to Communism

Berlin Blockade

United Nations

NATO

Sputnik

Hungarian Uprising

U-2 Crisis

Kennedy

Assassination

Hiroshima & Nagasaki

China falls to Communism

Warsaw Pact

Crushed

Berlin Wall

Cuban Missile Crisis

> Limited Test **Ban Treaty**

First French **Nuclear Tests** First Chinese **Nuclear Test**

U.S. Resumes Testing in Atmosphere and Space



U.S. Atmospheric **Nuclear Tests** in Pacific

First Soviet

Nuclear Test

First British **Nuclear Test**

> U.S. Nuclear Tests at NTS

Nuclear Test Moratorium Starts Soviets Break Moratorium

Intense U

Nuclear E

Dawn of the **Atomic Age**

Early Years of AFSWP

Discovery

DSWA DIRECTORS

1947-1948



Major General Groves



Major General **Nichols**

1951-1953



Major General Loper



Major General Ludecke



Rear Admiral Parker



Major General Booth



Lt. General Donnelly



Mustin



WEAPONS AGENCY

DSWA — 5

1953-1961



Dwight Eisenhower





John Kennedy



Lvndon Johnson

1969-1974



Richard Nixon



Gerald Ford



James Carter



1960


1970

1980

ARMED FORCES SPECIAL WEAPONS **PROJECT**



DEFENSE ATOMIC SUPPORT **AGENCY**



DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Suez Crisis

Vietnam War Starts

Gulf of Tonkin **Tet Offensive**

U.S. Leaves

Vietnam

Soviet Union Invades

Accord

Iran/Iraq

Sputnik

Hungarian Uprising

Crisis

Cuban Missile

U-2 Crisis

First French

Nuclear Tests

Kennedy Assassination

Berlin Wall

Limited Test

Ban Treaty

First Chinese **Nuclear Test**

U.S. Resumes Testing in Atmosphere and Space

Man on the

Vietnam

First Gas Shortage

Falls

Arab/Israeli War

Afghanistan

Egyptian/Israeli

Gorba To Pov

Grenada

in Beirut Bombed Second Gas Nixon Visits China

Marine Barracks

Shortage

Strategic Defensive

Initiative Starts

Warsaw Pact

Crushed

Moon

Watergate

Munich Olympic

Terrorism

Czechoslovakian

Ban Treaty

First Indian

Nuclear Test

Threshold Test

Iranian Hostage Crisis

Reagan Defense Par

Build-Up

South Africa **Nuclear Capable**

NATO Mode

.S. Nuclear ests at NTS

British

ır Test

Nuclear Test Moratorium Starts

Soviets Break Moratorium

Intense U.S. Underground **Nuclear Effects Testing**

U.S. - USSR - French

Underground Nuclear Testing

Early Years



Discovery

Strategic Deterrence **Strategic Deterrence** with Nuclear Parity

For Dei

of AFSWP



Parker



Donnelly



Mustin

Dunn





Vice Admiral Monroe



Griffith



1983-1985

Lt. Ge Picl

DSWA — 50 Years of National Service

1969-1974



Richard Nixon

1974-1977



Ford

1977-1981



Carter

1981-1989



Ronald Reagan

1989-1993



Bush

1993-Present



Clinton

7 0

ive

on the

Crisis

1980

1990

2000



DEFENSE NUCLEAR **AGENCY**

DEFENSE SPECIAL WEAPONS AGENCY



NERGY R&D ADMINISTRATION -

Arab/Israeli War

U.S. Leaves

First Gas Shortage

Nixon Visits China

Munich Olympic

Terrorism

Czechoslovakian

Watergate

Vietnam

Falls

Threshold Test

Ban Treaty

First Indian

Nuclear Test

Vietnam

Marine Barracks

in Beirut Bombed

Second Gas

Shortage

Soviet Union Invades

Accord

Iranian Hostage

Crisis

South Africa

Nuclear Capable

Afghanistan

Egyptian/Israeli

Iran/Iraq War

Iraq Invades Kuwait

Bosnia Intervention

Non-Proliferation

Treaty Extended

Gorbachev Comes To Power

Persian Gulf War

Berlin Wall

Falls

World Trade

Intermediate Nuclear

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Force Treaty

START II

Rabin Assassinated

Center Bombing

Pan Am Flight Reagan Defense

Chernobyl

Treaty

Grenada

Strategic Defensive

Initiative Starts

Build-Up

103 Bombed

NATO Force

Modernization

Somalia Intervention

Proliferation

Concerns

Oklahoma City Bombing

French-Chinese Under-

ground Nuclear Tests

U.S. - FSU - U.K.

Test Moratorium

CTBT Negotiations

Strategic



U.S. - USSR - French

Underground Nuclear Testing

Strategic Deterrence

Force Modernization and **Demise of Warsaw Pact**

Post-Cold War Priorities

Deterrence

1973-1977

Johnson



with Nuclear Parity



Monroe

1980-1983

Lt. General Griffith

1983-1985



Lt. General Saxer



Lt. General **Pickitt**



Parker



Watson

1992-1995



Major General Hagemann



Major General Curtin

1947-1954 — Early Years of the Armed Forces Special Weapons Project

weapons. Tests at far smaller yields sures under 10 pounds per square federal Civil Defense authorities in indicated severe damage to typical in May 1951, the AEC confirmed the feasibility of thermonuclear wooden structures at overpres-GREENHOUSE test data to the inch (psi). AFSWP released September 1951.

surface cratering effects. These and later tests stimulated interest in the tive Structures: Operation JANGLE, Test Implications for Protecdesign of protective structures for in November 1951, was the first event to test surface and subcommand centers and


for aircraft shelters.

ments commenced on a in 1951 (DESERT ROCK atomic weapons. Operpated in test site prepa-HOLE, in 1953, trained Troop training to opertroops. AFSWP particiate in nuclear environtering tests at the NTS ration and in training atmospheric and cra-** Troop Training: ation UPSHOT-KNOT-I) with about 5,000 during field tests of large scale during military personnel

in Albuquerque, New Mexico, were Sandia and AFSWP Field Command dures at Operational Storage Sites both assisting the Services in conducting their own inspections but AFSWP inspections of operational August 1951, AFSWP and the AEC agreed upon coordinated proce-** Stockpile Surveillance: In for nuclear weapons. By 1952, were also conducting parallel

Ballistic Missile (ICBM) Development, and Bomber Rebasing: An ** Test Implications for Weap ons Dispersal, Intercontinental early thermonuclear device test, 4-17

AFSWP tested the effects of blasts on structures.



military personnel to

fire tactical nuclear

weapons and to take

precautions to cope

IVY MIKE, produced a yield of 10.4 of guided missiles carrying thermokharov's Second Idea) accelerated Canada (the Distant Early Warning megatons of TNT-equivalent energy Panel recommended development Operational Storage Sites. Concurnuclear warheads. Other strategic some SAC forces to interior bases rently, the Teapot (Von Neumann) in October 1952. A Russian 400warning networks in Alaska and or DEW Line) and rebasing of plans for the dispersal of U.S. developments included radar kiloton thermonuclear test in August 1953 (Dr. Andrei Sain the continental U.S.

preceded Presidential approval of a 1953. The Eisenhower administra-New Look (NSC 162/2) of October ward Deployment and Readiness new military strategy, called the for Use: The armistice in Korea ** A Nuclear Strategy of Forion decided to ex-

rope, and to declare a deployments of nuclenuclear weapons for tactical defense and for strategic bomar weapons in Eureadiness to use pand forward bardment.


** Atomic Warfare AFSWP to maintain Status Center: On October 16, 1953, the Secretary of



Event MIKE (Operation IVY) detonation.

"a centralized system of reporting and accounting to ensure that the current status and location" of

nuclear weapons "will be known at all times." This critical function continues to the present day.



Washdown decontamination of B-17 aircraft.



1954-1963 — Discovery

U.S. and the Soviet Union, while Sputnik heralds the dawn Cuban Missile Crisis fuel Cold War tensions between the 1954-1963—Discovery: The U-2 Spy Plane and the of the space race.

1954 - Practicality of thermonuclear weapons demonstrated

survivable U.S. offensive and defen-

tests in 1954-1958 and 1962 were

and Diversity: U.S. atmospheric

* Nuclear Force Survivability

critical to the definition of nuclear

weapons effects for the design of

their basing modes. In this era, the

sive weapon systems, including

J.S. maintained a substantial lead

offsetting the Warsaw Pact conven-

ployed nuclear weapon systems,

in the number and yield of de-

ional force advantage over NATO.

Effects of Thermonuclear

1955 - Warsaw Pact founded

1956 - U-2 flights over the Soviet Union begin

1957 - Sputnik, the world's first space satellite, launched

1958 - Nuclear test moratorium begins


1959 - Nixon and Khrushchev engage in "kitchen debate"

1960 - Soviets down U-2 aircraft - Kennedy elected

1961 - Berlin Wall erected - Soviets break test moratorium

1962 - Cuban Missile Crisis


1963 - Limited Test Ban Treaty signed



of 15 megatons. The CASTLE series and weight and deployed on ballisnuclear weapon development tests in the CASTLE series. It had a yield weapons could be reduced in size indicated that megaton-range ic missiles and aircraft.

5-12

after the U.S. and Soviet atmospherand modeling of worldwide fallout Fallout concerns on a global scale AFSWP also performed prediction pling Program (HASP), using U-2 out from the BRAVO test exposed dicting worldwide fallout. AFSWP Radiation Monitoring: Fall-AFSWP with monitoring and preaircraft, to determine the strato-Fukurya Maru [Lucky Dragon]. sponsored a High Altitude Samspheric burden of radioactivity. Japanese fishermen aboard the caused the JCS in 1954 to task ic tests of 1961-1962.



used for launch of TIGHTROPE shot.

personnel. AFSWP trained military continental U.S. manned by Service Dispersal of Storage Sites: In 1954-1955, the Technology Capabilities Panel urged force dispersreduce vulnerabilities in the thermonuclear age. On December 1. 1954, President Eisenhower ap-Operational Storage Sites in the storage and maintenance staffs, als and increased readiness to proved JCS-proposed plans for dispersal to multiple nuclear

Premier Khrushchev.

inspected Service sites, and managed National Stockpile Sites in coordination with the AEC.

low-maintenance "wooden bombs" AFSWP supported DoD in its determination of the Military Characteristics, suitability, and acceptability of nuclear weapons. Concepts for Requirements Through AFSWP: weapons emerged in the 1950s. and modular "building block" Coordinating Weapons

employed a three-kiloton device at to assess blast and thermal effects on aircraft, missiles, and aircrews. 36,000 feet to explore options for air defense without prompt radio-Genie and Nike-Hercules missiles. Panel successfully advocated tests active fallout. Nuclear air defense In 1955, Test HA (High Altitude) systems deployed later included mid-1950s, the AFSWP Air Blast Effects for Air Defense: In the Understanding Nuclear



ments were: (1) the demonstration the end of the era, forever changed number of developments that, by the roles and missions of AFSWP, Prominent among these develop-Discovery was punctuated by a its successors, and the world. ** Changing Roles and Missions: The 1954-1963 Era of

USAF has operated B-52 bombers since 1955.

atmospheric testing, initiated by the rapid spread in the use of semicon-ICBMs and SLBMs that would carry Reentry Vehicles (MIRVs); (3) the ductor-based technology in weight-1958-1961 moratorium on nuclear testing and the resumption of Multiple Independently Targeted initial development activities for digital computers; and (4), the Ballistic Missiles (SLBMs), and and space-limited applications, such as satellites, missiles, and

of lithium-based compounds as a

warheads; (2) the deployment of (CBMs and Submarine-Launched

missiles to carry thermonuclear

thermonuclear fuel, permitting

the next day as a result of distur-

the ionosphere.



RB-57D sampling aircraft during Event JUNIPER.

1955, required bilateral programs them for the defense of NATO. The nuclear forces to Europe in 1954 of cooperation for nuclear system Military Committee (MC) adopted nuclear weapons and reliance on quently included nuclear-capable New Look Implementation: AFSWP coordinated nuclear tests and troop training preceding the fighters, ballistic missiles, atomic NATO force deployments subsedemolition munitions, and artil-MC document 14/2, approving forward deployment of tactical deployments. In 1956 NATO's forward deployment of tactical A NATO agreement of June 22, lery-fired atomic projectiles.

ing Center in 1958. AFSWP began AFSWP, and the AEC established a Joint Nuclear Accident Coordinatcoordinating accident responses ** Responding to Accidents: Following several SAC bomberrelated accidents, the Services, abroad.

Director, Defense Research and addition to AFSWP gaining new * AFSWP Redesignated: In accident responsibilities, the

reflect these increases in roles and missions, AFSWP was redesignated cy began reporting to both JCS and funds through AFSWP in 1958. To Agency (DASA) in 1959; the Agenthe Secretary of Defense that year. nuclear effects research and test as the Defense Atomic Support allocating the majority of DoD Engineering (DDR&E) began

Soviet Union conducted its own set of HA experiments Operation ARGUS. The

meters, resulted in a loss of Test TEAK, fired at night at high frequency (HF) communications over much of ◆ Disturbance of Distant Communications: an altitude of 77 kilo-

conducted in 1958 by the U.S. Navy vulnerable to degradation by recurwith DASA and Advanced Research come trapped, creating man-made ring passage through trapped elecverified the "Christofilos effect" in not feasible, since the earth's magtential ballistic missile attack was sufficiently intense radiation belts. ARGUS did, however, indicate that Projects Agency (ARPA) support, which fission decay electrons bethese belts as a shield against poradiation belts. The idea of using ** Electrons Trapped in Magnetic field was too weak to form ron belts. Some space satellites netic Fields: Operation ARGUS, semiconductor circuits were

the AEC jointly conducted a series of three tests over the South Atlantic in Program: In 1958, the Agency and HA nuclear explosions: tests TEAK and ORANGE in Operation HARD-Johnston Atoll in the Pacific, and TACK, launched by rockets from * Initial HA Nuclear Effects

reduce vulnerabilities of electronic

endurance of satellite communica-

ions and other space systems.

components and to improve the

tests. DASA pursued techniques to

failed in the aftermath of the 1958

the Pacific that lasted into



Stranathan with TEAPOT MET cloud General Ludecke and General bebind them.

Minuteman silos, DASA and the Air (HE) tests in 1959-1960. Plans for the 1958-1961 Moratorium: U.S. October 1958 and did not resume until 1962, after the Soviets broke ** Adaptive Research During Force sponsored high explosive nuclear radiation effects atmospheric testing ceased in began conducting tests underground in 1961). To evaluate the test moratorium (the U.S.

computer models of upper evolve in this period, and were refined between the simulators also began to 1958 tests and those of atmospheric chemistry

** Force Modernization: Dispersal of SAC bases and construction of early warning radar networks improved bomber force

Minuteman I missile wing on July siles, the George Washington, on December 30, 1959. The U.S. Air submarine carrying Polaris missurvivability. The U.S. Navy commissioned the first operational Force commissioned the first

Deployments in Europe: After East accelerated deployments of nuclear doubled between January 1961 and ◆ Doubling of Nuclear Weapon Germany constructed the Berlin NATO nuclear stockpile virtually weapons to Europe. The total May 1965 to more than 5,900 Wall in August 1961, the U.S. nuclear weapons.

DASA and its contractors developed gic Target Planning Staff (JSTPS): successor, U.S. Strategic Command fects, notably airblast. SAC, and its (STRATCOM), have routinely used ** Supporting the Joint Strate-DASA supported the JSTPS, estabthe Agency's expertise on nuclear lished at SAC, beginning in 1960. computer models of nuclear efeffects to support war planning.

(ANMCC) at Fort Ritchie, Maryland, National Military Command Center House request, DASA analyzed the and at the underground Alternate facilities, after the Soviets tested a began operating in the Pentagon effects of a 100-megaton weapon on the ANMCC, and on proposed in 1961. In late 1961, at White ** DoD Damage Assessment Washington, D.C. underground Center (DODDAC): DODDAC 58-megaton device.



Operation CASTLE, Test BRAVO at Bikini Island in 1954

1954-1963 — Discovery

recommended sweeping reforms in adopted more stringent safeguards improvements in safety and securitems abroad and the pre-assembly command and control safeguards. for command and control, includ---> Improving Safety and Cony. These affected DASA training ing Permissive Action Links and trol Over Nuclear Systems: Deployment of tactical nuclear sysconcerns about the adequacy of National Security Council (NSC) The Joint Committee on Atomic Energy, in its Hollifield Report, December 1960. In 1962, the of warheads on missiles raised and inspection programs.



Decontamination personnel checking for radioactivity.

ing: In "Project 57" and later tests, Joint Weapons Safety Testunction with the Armed Services dispersal. Joint research with the British in 1961-1962 resulted in HE testing of igloo safety in con-Explosives Safety Board. Altered DASA participated in HE tests to predict and prevent plutonium storage criteria for plutonium-

bearing weapons and improved storage site designs resulted.

on materials and electronics. In the missile systems were confirmed. An retrofit solutions for Minuteman II 1962 DoD-sponsored test MARSHlearned about the effects of x-rays MALLOW, nuclear effects on sensireentry vehicles (RV) and nuclear warheads intensified as more was vulnerability of guidance systems, Missiles: Concern regarding the ad hoc Committee on Radiation ** X-Ray Effects on Ballistic William G. McMillan, identified tive components of space and Effects, established under Dr.

ability to x-rays led to systems and advocat-SLBMs entered development, their vulnered designed-in hardstrategic missiles. As effects, implemented MIRVed ICBMs and olanning for undersimulation of x-ray ater in the 1960s. ening for future ground tests and

Plasma Disturbances and Radar

the earth. The implications of these miles along the magnetic meridian and across magnetic field lines of FISHBOWL tests, in 1962, caused striated and spread thousands of ests for the degradation of radio bomb-generated plasma became Blackout: The exoatmospheric auroral and ionospheric disturbances. In STARFISH PRIME,



DASA designed experiments on cratering, airblast, and ground

could survive nuclear attacks,

atmospheric nuclear test on November 4, 1962.

Johnston Atoll in the Pacific Ocean.

communication satellites, and early warning and Anti-Ballistic Missile and radar communications were important to the design of U.S. (ABM) radars.

was already underway before some

of the lessons were learned.

🏞 Supporting Negotiations of

the Limited Test Ban Treaty

(LTBT): DASA supported ARPA in

sponsoring research to improve

the detection of nuclear tests in

space, in the atmosphere, and

Initial silo construction for ICBMs

Force in designing hardened silos

for Minuteman II and III missiles.

confirmed distant EMP effects upon served as the center of expertise in efforts to design enduring commu-(EMP): The FISHBOWL series also DASA research. Street lamps shorted on Oahu, about 800 miles from nications and command systems that could withstand EMP effects. the STARFISH PRIME test. DASA electronics predicted by earlier ** Electromagnetic Pulse

underground. Interpretation of the

first fully contained underground

test, RAINIER in 1957, led U.S.

also eliminated worldwide fallout.

scientists to conclude that seismic

signals could be "decoupled" by

priorities from the McMillan Panel, a nuclear effects task force for the DDR&E. One of the first complete systems to be tested was the Nikethat "all components affecting a nuclear weapon system" be field tested as a unit in an operational environment. DASA solicited test Command, DASA recommended Hercules surface-to-air missile, Operability-Survivability Systems: In August 1962, Field Tests for Complete Weapon

bans as the administration began to Kennedy on options for nuclear test consider potential treaties limiting selection of appropriate soils and adverse implications for monitoring a ban or yield limit on under-Panel, relying in part upon DASA ground testing. The McMillan cavities. This conclusion had research, briefed President nclear testing. shock at the NTS and elsewhere. In the 1960s, DASA's Strategic Strucfired successfully in the last U.S. ** Strategic Force Moderniza tures Division supported the Air tion: To assure weapon systems

cut into the darkness." Eventually, it sought accommodations that would and underwater. President Kennedy essen, control, or avoid such peril came perilously close to a nuclear realized accommodations was the both the U.S. and the Soviet Union called the treaty "...a shaft of light missile crisis. In ensuing months, tests in the atmosphere, in space, exchange between the two super-** The 1963 LTBT: The world ITBT, which prohibited nuclear powers during the 1962 Cuban n future relations. One of the



President Kennedy signs the Limited Test Ban Treaty.



Spartan missile.

6-14

Command Center in the

1963-1971 — Strategic Deterrence

Missile Crisis, Soviet leaders seek to match and exceed U.S. 1963-1971—Strategic Deterrence: After the Cuban strategic force deployments by 1970.

1963 - Johnson becomes President upon Kennedy's assassination

1964 - Khrushchev deposed

1965 - Aerial bombing of North Vietnam

1966 - First exposure of reentry systems/underground nuclear test

1967 - SAIT Talks begin – 480,000 U.S. troops in Vietnam

1968 - Anti-war protests

1969 - Armstrong walks on Moon - Vietnam troops at 543,000

1970 - U.S. invades Cambodia

1971 - Kissinger begins talks with Chou En-Lai

DASA designed and funded many of these tests between 1964 and 1970 Tests for Survival and Operathe vulnerability of the Minuteman the McMillan Panel urged survivbility: From 1961 through 1965, ability tests for weapons systems. effort. Of particular concern was as the centerpiece of the overall Il guidance system to radiation. The DDR&E and the Air Force

reorganized to attract world-class

scientists and engineers to lead

DoD nuclear weapons effects

lowing the 1962 atmospheric test

series and the 1963 LTBT, DASA

🎨 🌣 DASA Reorganization: Fol-

agreed upon testing, redesign, and

disbanded after its 1993 meeting as Scientific Advisory Group on Effects part of a government-wide move to began sponsorship of the McMillan retesting. Missile RV hardness, silo October 1964, the DASA Director vulnerability to EMP were also of concern. With DDR&E assent in Panel. From 1966 to 1993, the reduce the number of federal design, and electronic system (SAGE). The SAGE Panel was oanel was referred to as the advisory groups.

** Underground Nuclear Effects participated in a Nuclear Weapons cember 1963, U.S. scientists urged McMillan Panel and subsequently priority for nuclear effects testing of full-scale reentry systems. Over Effects Coordinating Group to lay 1962 AEC-DoD agreement within the constraints of the 1963 LTBT, the next two years, in Operation the groundwork for the nuclear reaffirmed by ICS action. In De-Test Planning: To implement a effects testing advocated by the AEC and DASA representatives

often had difficulty conveyability of technical designs Moscow-Washington ing confidential messages DASA reviewed the survivsupporting the June 1963 agreement for a Heads-of the White House, through This Hot Line connected to one another. In 1963, President Kennedy and Government Hot Line. Secretary Khrushchev Hot Line: During the Cuban Missile Crisis, the National Military

** HA Effects Analysis: The SAGE of both weapons effects and planetests conducted in 1958-1962. The also supported the addition of Hot DASA advanced the understanding effects, such as EMP disruption of buried communication links, were confirmed by Russian scientists in Panel recommended priorities for Chairman of the Special Weapons HA effects analysis. In the 1960s, tary physics through analyses of altitude tests. Suspected nuclear Pentagon, to the Kremlin. DASA SAGE Chairman also served as *Line* Communications Satellite analyzed the 1962 Soviet high Effects Group (SWEG), which (COMSAT) links in 1971. 6 - 13Secretary of Defense McNamara and

four safeguards for the 1963 treaty ** Safeguards for the LTBT: To protect U.S. nuclear capabilities, were to: (1) continue

and tunnel systems for x-ray effects

began designing line-of-sight shaft


nents of weapon systems. DASA

ground for effects on key compo-

SUGAR CANE, DASA and the AEC explored methods to test under-

Secretary of State Rusk.

HOTEL) satellites and for underground testing; (2) nuclear detection (VELA decoupled underground prove methods to detect DASA supported verificaion research for ARPA's maintain modern nucle-Nuclear Test Readiness Program; and (4) imestablish the National nuclear detonations. seismic detection of and monitor foreign ar laboratories; (3)



SAGE Panel – August 1966.

tion of the nation's needs for nucle-

assessment of nuclear weapons

effects on those systems.

ar weapon systems and the

successors as the "honest broker" for unbiased research and evalua-

owned or operated weapons sys-

tems, established DASA and its

that fueled an intellectual environ-

demia, and national laboratories

and engineers in industry, aca-

ment and peer review process for nuclear weapons effects activities.

This new environment, coupled

with the fact that DASA never

relationships with other scientists

They formed unique cooperative

research and testing programs.

1963-1971 — Strategic Deterrence

tion Analysis Center (DASIAC). The ** Information Systems: Beginexpanded to include similar activining in 1960, DASA sponsored the nuclear test data and the establish program was to ensure the collecit. Subsequently, DASIAC's mission ties for all types of nuclear effects. Defense Atomic Support Informament of a center of knowledge on tion and preservation of the HA initial purpose for the DASIAC

sures for troops and civil defense. DNA) managed the Armed Forces search supported protective mea-In 1963, Congress appropriated Radiobiology Research Institute (AFRRI) in Bethesda, Maryland. Biomedical Research and through 1993, DASA (and later Civil Defense: Biomedical refunds for a nationwide fallout shelter program. From 1964

This interservice facility studies the biomedical effects of radia

tion and the treatment of radiation

helped SAC develop options for a refined models of nuclear effects and radioactive transport, which ** Tools for War Plans: In the strategy of controlled response. 1960s, DASA maintained and

warheads. The U.S. sold Polaris A-3 programs of cooperation permitted weapons and contingency planning supported tests in Nevada of British sau Agreement, DASA and the AEC for allied use. After the 1962 Nasby allied forces increased to peak Nuclear Planning Group. Nuclear stockpiles in U.S. custody for use ** Supporting NATO: Bilateral these warheads. DASA also supforward basing of U.S. nuclear missiles to the British to carry plied planning data to NATO's levels in 1971

Weapons Center. Stand-off weapons in conjunction with Sandia Labora-** Techniques for Testing and Simulation: From 1964 through entered the stockpile in the late tory and the Air Force Special

Deputy Director (Science and Techmanaged more than three-quarters plans and programs activities. With DASA's July 1964 charter, a civilian 1965 (290 positions), science and technology research and test staff just four percent of DASA staff in Science and Technology: Under nology) oversaw radiation, blast 🐃 Integrating Nuclear-Based and shock, biomedical, and test of the agency's budget.


1968. These publications preceded A revised edition was published in In November 1964, DASA consoli-Capabilities of Nuclear Weapons. the two-volume Effects Manual-1 dated nuclear effects knowledge (EM-1), first published in 1972. in the classified publication,

ested low-level penetration tactics tactics to penetrate Soviet nuclear-August 1964, the JCS established Sandia Base to test and validate armed air defenses. The JTF-2 loint Task Force-2 (JTF-2) at * Operational Tactics: In

Mk-12 reentry vehicle

damaged by x-rays.

(HEST) and the Direct-Induced 1965, DASA surveyed effects-related tions. These included: underground nuclear tests of airblast and ground risks to weapon systems and develshock; exposure of weapons, electronics, and materials to radiation; oped testing and simulation opand development of radiation



simulators to assess EMP, gamma ray, and x-ray effects. ** Modeling Global Impacts: For ment at RAND, at the JSTPS, and at DASA sponsored software developwar on fallout and global climate, projecting the impact of nuclear force exchange models and for AEC laboratories.

silos. As HE testing evolved, distriblarge-scale (kiloton-class) HE test ground shock. In 1964, Operation The SAILOR HAT test was conductsimulated nuclear airblast loading prohibited nuclear detonations in was conducted in Alberta, Canada shock on ships. Subsequent DASA the atmosphere, DASA developed ed the following year. These tests DIAL PACK, both of which helped the Air Force assess and improve tests included PRAIRIE FLAT and the survivability of Minutemen II uted HE arrays, such as the High SNOWBALL, a 500-ton HE event, ** HE Testing: When the LTBT **Explosive Simulation Technique** beds to generate airblast and of structures and underwater

Small ICBM. DASA also employed modes for Peacekeeper and the HE testing for evaluating the dyengineered Soviet silos and to evaluate candidate silo basing by the Agency to test reversenamics of crater formation. DASA conducted additional experiand the U.S. were coordinated and Australia and Canada. British and exchanged through The Technical TTCP played a major role in estabshock physics conferences on the Coordinating Panel (TTCP). The achieved by those two countries military applications of airblast. lishing a series of multinational refinement of HE simulation of Canadian scientists played key ments on forest blowdown in roles in the development and nuclear effects. The results

Field Command, DASA with responcommon nuclear stockpile reporting standards for all of the military U.S. nuclear stockpile. With confi-** Stockpile Surveillance: Dur-Services. In 1966, the JCS tasked ing 1965-1966, DASA developed sibility to account for the entire

Underground testing


Tritium facility at Savannah River.

- Strategic Deterrence 1963-1971

nuclear weapons to Service custody rized transfer of all war reserve in the late 1960s.

peak of almost 11,000 in the 1950s Weapons to the Services: In 1965, Sites. With the transfer of all "war reserve" stockpiles to the military Services in the late 1960s, authoworked at five National Stockpile rized personnel declined from a forty percent of DASA personnel ** Transfer of War Reserve to 1,800 in fiscal year 1975.

1965, during the SCREAMER event nished by the Department of Energround Nuclear Effects Tests: In engineers at Los Alamos employed new device in a similar test called ** Nuclear Devices for Under-Subsequently, Livermore used its DASA, and Service experiments. devices were subsequently fur-TAPESTRY. These two nuclear a new nuclear device for AEC, gy (DOE) laboratories as the




DIAMOND SCULLS underground test

dence in this system, the JCS autho- | radiation sources for underground nuclear effects tests conducted by DASA.

granite for stronger ICBM silos and ull-scale reentry systems (Mk-11C sored DOUBLE PLAY event, execut-WISHBONE. The first exposure of and Mk-12) was the DASA-sponneutrons. The first such test was measured shock propagation in electronic components, circuits, and systems to gamma rays and ** Early DASA Underground Nuclear Effects Tests: In 1965, DASA began a series of vertical line-of-sight tests that exposed ed in June 1966. Other tests national command centers.

proved a plan, drafted by the DASA Technology), for an intense series of underground tests to assure the hardness to nuclear effects of U.S. strategic offensive and defensive Initiatives: In 1966, the JCS ap-Deputy Director (Science and Supporting the JCS Test

executed in accordance with the missile forces. These tests were development schedules of each (SPO) and were the most com-Service System Program Office prehensive effects tests ever conducted. ** Testing Ballistic Missiles MIST-was conducted by DASA to Avert System Failures: The approved test program-MIDI first major test under the JCSon June 26, 1967. It involved Minuteman II and III, plus reentry systems and other elements of Poseidon and



The SEDAN crater at NTS.

serve as the conscience of the DoD

in matters of nuclear safety.

that it was essential to retain DASA

or a successor agency that would

DASA staff briefed SAGE in 1969 on the results of survivability testing to required redesign for survivability. components and materials for the Sentinel ABM. DASA subsequently carried out an extensive series of exposures of those four strategic systems, with results that often avert potential system failures.

Target Planning: DASA sponsored

Assessment Methods for

accidents to the Office of the Secrethat removed 237 tons of radioac-1968. After the Thule crash, DASA Emergencies: DASA coordinated crash near Thule, Greenland, in Service decontamination efforts tary of Defense (OSD). In 1968, provided a database of nuclear emergency response to remove radioactive debris and recover Mk-28 bombs near Palomares, tive ice and debris after a B-52 Spain, in 1966. DASA oversaw Responding to Overseas

available on programmable, handrated the VNTK system. Later, the held calculators. Both the slide Agency made the methodology rules and the calculators were widely used by target planners throughout the DoD.

tor for Ships (EMPRESS), a simulaand the Air Force Weapons Labora-New Mexico. DASA also funded the encouraged design of EMP simulathe military services. DASA funded ** Radiation Simulators: DASA the EMP Radiation Effects Simulaand sponsored an EMP Simulator tors of different types for each of (TEMPS), built for the Army, and supported EMP simulator design Panel in 1967-1968. This work tory initially operated ARES, an EMP simulator in Albuquerque, Transportable EMP Simulator tor system built for the Navy.

effects analyses were factors in the (CANNIKIN) in 1971 verified Sparinterceptor survivability in nuclear tan warhead performance. Subseenvironments. These tests helped megaton-range underground test eventual negotiation of the 1972 confirm U.S. readiness for ABM deployments. System costs and Agency tested full-scale Spartan quently, the U.S. Army and the ** Testing ABM Systems: A ABM Treaty.

refined later by the Defense Intelli

gence Agency (DIA), and applied by the Joint Strategic Target Planning Staff. The method is referred

to as the VNTK system, denoting

Vulnerability Number/Type (of

probability-of-damage calculations

used in force exchange models.

These models were developed by the Air Force Intelligence Center,

rithm development to improve the

field tests, calculations, and algo-

Packard announced the creation of ** Creating the Defense Nuclear the Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA) Deputy Defense Secretary David Agency: On March 29, 1971, as successor to DASA.

produced slide rules that incorpo-

experimental data. Initially, DASA

sity of Illinois based on DASA

and was formulated by the Univer-

accounts for weapon yield effects

target)/K-factor. The "K-factor"



1971-1981 — Strategic Deterrence with Nuclear Parity

1971-1981—Strategic Deterrence with Nuclear Parity: International tensions fueled by the Afghanistan invasion and the seizure of the U.S. embassy by Iranians lead to a U.S. military buildup and strategic modernization.

1971 - "Vietnamization" underway

1972 - President Nixon reelected - Visits China

1973 - U.S. leaves Vietnam

1974 - Nixon resigns - Ford sworn in

1975 - First personal computers appear - South Vietnam falls

1976 - U.S. Bicentennial – Carter elected President

1977 - Neutron bomb controversy

1978 - Vietnam invades Cambodia

1979 - Soviets invade Afghanistan - Iranians seize U.S. embassy

1980 - Reagan elected - Iran/Iraq war begins

1981 - Iran releases hostages

Test Sponsor: Since 1971, DNA has

** DNA Becomes Sole Effects

primarily to permit exposure of the

weapons effects tests, designed

sponsored all U.S. nuclear

protecting them from high-velocity

test objects to radiation while

nuclear detonation. In a one-of-a-

kind nuclear effects test, DNA

exposed a Defense Satellite

debris or other products of a

Communications System III (DSCS

nuclear effects during the HURON KING event. During exposure, the

III) mock-up to

surveys. New weapon storage vaults ** Improved Operational Safety included subsurface weapons storproved site security and site safety the Munich Olympics in 1972. Results included non-lethal defenses ported an OSD site security initia-1980s. The buried storage vaults and Security in NATO: DNA supiive after terrorist attacks during age within shelters of aircraft on alert status; these weapon vaults afforded prompt access with imat storage sites and site security were deployed beginning in the in event of fire or hostile attack.

** Integrating Knowledge: In 1972, DNA published a two-volume nuclear weapons effects manual

chamber at the top of an evacuated


specially designed vacuum

vertical shaft over the nuclear

satellite mock-up was housed in a

called *Effects Manual-1* (*EM-1*). Two years later, DNA issued a NATO-releasable version of *EM-1*. These volumes provided critical planning information for unified and specified CINCs, civilian civil defense activities, and NATO officials.

roles of strategic and theater nucle-Deterrence and Defense: In 1973, source information regarding Soviar forces were to be illuminated in was placed on means to provide a ward defense. Suggested improvemuch improved conventional forthese assessments, and emphasis New Directions for Theater et force modernization and exerward defense in Europe. This asthe Secretary of Defense and the Supreme Allied Commander Eumake a series of assessments of methods to provide a strong forsessment was to be based on all cises. The integrated deterrent rope (SACEUR) asked DNA to



Missile silo test configuration.

ments included the views of all NATO allies. All of these goals were accomplished by DNA in conjunction with many agencies of the U.S. government, including the Congress, as well as European allies and NATO. A major result was the U.S. Army's AirLand Battle Doctrine that produced theater-specific force and strategy improvements

ventional weapons in the defense of Korea. CINCPAC was able to reduce DNA supported CINCPAC's effort to control, and communications (C3) including high-altitude bursts with Asia. In 1974, DNA supported the (CINCPAC) in identifying new tacescalation in Korean contingency ics for the use of advanced confor NATO, Korea, and Southwest dependence upon early nuclear ensure operability of command, plans. In the mid-to-late 1970s, links in nuclear environments, Commander in Chief, Pacific EMP effects.

istic missile accuracies improved in the mid-1970s, DNA assessed new protective structures for U.S. strategic and theater force deployments and studied potential vulnerabilities of Soviet hardened targets. The Silo Test Program (STP), orignally for Soviet target assessment, provided insights for superhard silo designs for U.S. ICBMs.



Beginning in 1974, the DNA Deputy personal computers. This provided with the first microcomputer-based planning tools previously available Director for Science and Technol-DNA provided field commanders algorithms for handheld calculaapplications for nuclear effects. development of nuclear effects tors and the first generation of only on mainframe computers. planning tools, including the • Computational Models developing microcomputer military and civilian analysts computerized Targeting and and Handbeld Calculators: DNA was in the forefront of ogy personally oversaw the Planning System (TAPS).

achieved "nuclear parity" with the HE simulations supported options Survivability of land-based ICBMs ** Survival of Missile Systems: became increasingly challenging U.S. Both underground tests and during this era as the Soviets



CACTUS crater dome at Enewetak Atoll.

at White Sands Missile Range,

New Mexico.

4 reentry body (RB) for the Trident preceded deployment of Ohio-class Minuteman silos to improve surviv-(UGTs) led to changes for the Minuteman III Mk-12A RV and the Mk-I SLBM. Trident operability testing studies resulting in retrofitting of for basing the Peacekeeper (MX) ICBM. DNA supported Air Force ability. Later underground tests submarines, each carrying 24 MIRVed SLBMs.

over air bases improved survivabilaircraft against conventional weapon attack. DNA tested aircraft shelity of tankers supporting SAC oper-** Aircraft Operability: Aircraft shelters built in Europe protected Assessments of nuclear barrages ters and shielding against EMP.

** NATO Theater Force Modernization: In October 1977, NATO's

ater nuclear force modernizatablished a task force on theand arms control strategy in Nuclear Planning Group esassessments, among others, NATO approved a two-track theater force modernization tion. With DNA-sponsored December 1979.

sought the capability to attack key nodes in the Warsaw Pact quired new classes of prompt To preclude the overrunning yond DNA-sponsored studies of theater force modernizarear echelon air bases and strike weapon systems. Beof Western Europe, NATO resupply system. This re-

(GLCMs), Pershing II ballistic misment tactics and exercise new scethe 1980s included deployment of narios and force mixes. These actheater nuclear force initiatives in tures assessments for GLCMs; and various Joint Working Groups that weapons. DNA supported hardenng of the Supreme Headquarters, Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE) at Pershing survivability exercises in ground-launched cruise missiles Mons, Belgium; protective structivities helped to convince NATO allies of the importance of force siles, and other special purpose model alternative force employtion, the Agency participated in modernization. Subsequent U.S. provided a forum with allies to

** Theater Force Deployments: To modernize theater nuclear and

As part of its cleanup of radioactive moved tons of contaminated soil to the CACTUS crater and entombed it 💝 Environmental Remediation. equipment that has been used subsequently in site remediation activicover designed by the Army Corps of Engineers. DNA also developed underneath a massive concrete ties at the NTS and at Johnston debris on Enewetak Atoll, DNA robotic radiation monitoring tions, Soviet delegations walked out advantage of deployed Warsaw Pact of all nuclear arms control negotiaforces. In the aftermath of NATO's incapacitating effects of radiation. initial deployment of modern the-🌣 Effects of Radiation: AFRRI conventional forces, NATO forces ater nuclear forces and other acsystems to offset the quantitative improved the understanding of deployed advanced technology

the understanding of a spectrum of 🌣 Nuclear Effects Research and systems being developed or under combination of UGTs, simulators, and analytical models to improve Development: DNA employed a consideration during the era. nuclear effects important to and medical staff training, and the

The AFRRI mission included troop

availability of medical staff for response to radiological


planning for use of, and response

understanding influenced Army

Among other applications, this


tions in 1983.

to, enhanced radiation weapons.



DoD satellite test chamber (HURON KING). INSET: Satellite suspended inside test chamber.

1971-1981 — Strategic Deterrence with Nuclear Parity



Pershing Battery undergoing tests at Cape Canaveral, Florida.

effects on aircraft engines following ground shock, were all assessed or or RBs flying through dust, ice, and targets. Methods were evaluated to structures and the lethality of U.S. avoid or minimize erosion of RVs plus direct- and airblast-induced importance in understanding the weapons attacking similar Soviet Close-in and non-ideal airblast, damage observed in engines of rain. Research began on dust survivability of hardened U.S. aircraft flying near the Mt. St. reassessed because of their

refined the scientific understanding Helens' volcanic cloud. Hardening EMP (SGEMP) effects began to be atmosphere. DNA developed steps to mitigate or otherwise cope with techniques for system-generated of communications degradation due to nuclear effects on the applied to spacecraft design. such degradation.

Nuclear Test Personnel

Satellite observations and modeling






DISTANT RUNNER high-explosive test event.

Trident SLBM test shot.

participants and occupation forces exposures for military nuclear test the NTPR in 1978. DNA published reports describing major nuclear regarding the health of other test Subsequently, the NTPR program participants led OSD to establish 1957 SMOKY test and concern tests in the atmosphere, then developed a database on test has provided individual dose veterans' claims of radiationreconstructions to help the at Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Departments of Justice and Veterans Affairs adjudicate related illnesses.



Net Assessment. Results impacted force budgeting and arms control

planning preceding the Strategic

Nuclear Weapon Accident Exercisemany biennial emergency response radioactive isotopes in dilute quan-Joint Exercises for Emergen. Arms Limitation Treaty (SALT) I of exercises. It simulated aircraft acassessments and nuclear weapon/ cidents and employed short-lived cy Response and Site Remedia-79 (NUWAX-79) was the first of tion: Starting in 1979, DNA coemergency response exercises. sponsored, with the DOE, joint materials recovery operations. bilities. In the 1970s, DNA assessed distributed C3 network survivability sure to EMP, and sponsored assessradiation and EMP effects supportments of both satellite and ground space systems, ground-based comsures for continuity of government and military operations in nuclear ments requires enduring C3 capasurvive potential nuclear environafter both direct attack and expostation design for prompt and deadministration initiated new meaed the design of more survivable works. In 1979-1980, the Carter ** Enduring C3: The ability to layed radiation events. DNA-supplied data on hardening against munications nodes, and C3 net-

ities for realistic training in hazard

Integrated Operational Plan (SIOP) adjustments as the 1971-1981 era Risk: DNA, with DIA cooperation, silos and began subscale testing. reverse-engineered Soviet ICBM creased silo hardness. New DNA → Holding Soviet Targets at The STP led to estimates of inmodels aided JSTPS in Single ance assessments for the Office of



EMP simulation test setup.



1981–1991 — Force Modernization and the Demise of the Warsaw Pact

series of regional conflicts; and the proliferation of weapons of the Warsaw Pact: The awesome costs of the U.S./Soviet arms 1981-1991—Force Modernization and the Demise of race help bring down the Berlin Wall and communism in the Soviet Union; the threats of the Cold War are replaced by a mass destruction (WMD) becomes a global concern.

1981 - Reagan inaugurated - Beginning of defense buildup

1982 - U.S.- USSR retain limits for SALT II

1983 - Reagan announces Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI)

1984 - Reagan reelected in a landslide

1985 - Gorbachev becomes General Secretary, Communist Party

1986 - Reagan-Gorbachev talks stall over U.S. SDI program

1987 - Intermediate Nuclear Forces Treaty

1988 - Bush elected President - Iran-Iraq War ends

1989 - Soviet troops leave Afghanistan – Berlin Wall torn down

1990 - Warsaw Pact ends - Iraq invades Kuwait

1991 - Gorbachev abducted but attempted coup fails

deeply buried and hardened under-Following the Commission's report, these activities were accomplished ointly with the Air Force's Ballistic their application to silo survivabilioverpressures for silo survivability ground structures; and superhard Small ICBM; ground shock at high craters from tests in the Pacific to ty; non-ideal airblast and its simu-Mobile Launcher (HML) for the silo design and testing. Many of reevaluate crater dynamics and lation for tests of the Hardened and, later, for lethality against DNA applied its expertise to:

the President approved silos as the

Scowcroft Commission's Report,

er basing modes. After the

Peacekeeper basing mode and the

development of Small ICBMs with emphasis on nuclear survivability.

The Agency provided technical support and briefings to the

Scowcroft Commission.

evaluation of candidate Peacekeep-

tinued to provide support to the

and reevaluating basing modes for

the Peacekeeper ICBM. DNA con-

buildup. Initially, the focus was on renewing B-1 bomber production


with President Reagan's defense tion: The 1981-1991 era began

** Strategic Force Moderniza-

airblast testing, which demonstratvehicles under high dynamic pressure loading. DNA also assisted BMO in hardening Small ICBM ed the feasibility of anchoring electronics to nuclear effects.

strategic forces into the foreseeable missile. These systems, along with other in-flight missile electronics, Minuteman III, will comprise the * Operability Testing: During demonstrated the survivability of and the Mk-5 RB. Separate UGTs the era, DNA executed UGTs that strategic missile element of U.S. Mk-21 RV for the Peacekeeper the Trident II guidance system, verified the survivability of the

>> Communications Connectiv ies on high altitude nuclear effects ity: DNA sponsored summer studin 1982 and 1986. Simulation of



Peacekeeper missile

Missile Office (BMO). The HML

concept originated from DNA


satellites designed to explore these HILAT (high latitude) in 1983, and phenomena. DNA sponsored three exoatmospheric plasma striations through the striated barium cloud phenomena: WIDEBAND in 1976, employed satellite transmissions experiments to test communicawith communication and radar using barium clouds coincided tions degradation. These tests POLAR BEAR in 1986.

hardened microelectronics comporeconnaissance, space exploration, nents. Re-analysis of SGEMP effects costs. As the semiconductor induswithin smaller packages, miniaturlites. DNA's microelectronics hardening program has contributed to ** Microelectronics Hardening: tolerances to radiation. DNA sponquently demonstrated their endurdisrupted other unhardened satel-Following the DoD Nuclear Survivreinforced its role in encouraging bility without large system retrofit system designers to assure operatry increased processing speeds Service SPOs to adopt radiationsored development of radiationmicroelectronics, which subse-U.S. preeminence in long-lived ized circuits exhibited reduced colerant satellite and computer and communication satellites. led to early consultations with ance through solar flares that ability Directive in 1983, DNA

design an underground test as part certain radiation effects, especially Deficiencies in understanding of source region EMP, led DNA to

and to correlate results with above ground test simulations and comof the DISTANT LIGHT program puter models.

the Air Force in 1983-1988, DNA cofive-year development program with compared to nuclear weapons. In a Munitions: DNA sponsored tests of enhanced conventional munitions sponsored advanced conventional munitions tests at Eglin Air Force and their relative performance ** Enbanced Conventional



Radiation hardened 64-kilobit static RAM chip from the early 1980s.

were highly relevant. This initiative operations in the Persian Gulf War of 1990-1991 and laid the foundaserved to support precision strike expertise to non-nuclear weapons DNA to pursue non-nuclear tech-Board on DNA management reaf-In 1986, the Johnson Task Force effects. This action preceded the March 1987 charter authorizing firmed the application of DNA's Agency's nuclear-derived skills nology applications where the report to the Defense Science

1981-1991 — Force Modernization and the Demise of the Warsaw Pact

tion for the counterproliferation

mand and Control: Soviet doctrine cope with both prompt and delayed National Command Authority. Since systems and to assure continuity of programs incorporated designs to the early 1980s, the DNA community supported presidential initia-** Design for Enduring Com-"decapitation attacks" upon the government in wartime. These tives to procure survivable C3 and targeting gave priority to nuclear effects.

Nuclear Winter Assessments: 1983 Nuclear Winter assessment DNA-funded research led to the sunlight transmission. DNA also of dust and soot impairment of

for evaluating the lethality of all SDI regarding fire phenomenology and tbe Atmosphere of a Major Nucleimpact of wartime fires, and were ar Exchange (1985), and in later Office assigned DNA responsibility assessments of the more than 600 search Council in The Effects on transport models. These models documented by the National Reconcurrent Kuwaiti oil well fires funded research to improve the during Operation Desert Storm. ** Strategic Defense: The SDI weapons against their potential understanding of uncertainties supported assessments on the

sored survivability and operability targets. The SDI Office co-spontests and simulations. DNA con-

assessments of adaptive responses ducted underground tests for SDI also supported SDI Red Teams in candidate subsystems, including distributed sensor systems. DNA to candidate SDI systems.

sponse Procedure (NARP) manual 1980s. Following the investigation emergency response teams under Security: A Titan II missile caught routine maintenance in the early of this accident, OSD reinstated Center. The Agency published a the coordination of DNA's Joint Nuclear Accident Coordinating Vuclear Weapon Accident Refire and was destroyed during Operational Safety and

and three-dimensional modeling to The Drell Report to the Congress in 1990 urged improved hydrocodes improve hazard predictions, to



SDI laser test.

Team from AFRRI to identify hazard mitigation options and participate in developing medical treatment regimes. 1986, after the waste cleanup activities begun earlier were completed, the government returned Enewetak SP Environmental Cleanup: In to the sovereignty of Marshall Is-

ported JCS initiatives to hold at risk Soviet hardened underground C3 Target Kill research program that facilities. DNA established a Hard So Hard Target Kill: DNA supstrategic relocatable targets and included consideration of earth penetrating weapons.

> monitoring and sorting/collection program to reduce Johnston Atoll plutonium contamination that resulted from the pre-launch explo-

land inhabitants. Concurrently,

DNA began a plutonium waste

rization at Johnston Atoll: During 💝 Chemical Weapon Demilita-Atoll, located 800 miles southwest tained the option to resume atmothe period when the nation maincepted custodianship of Johnston of the Hawaiian Archipelago. DNA spheric nuclear testing, DNA acsupported users of the Atoll by

Atoll. After the 1986 reactor fire at

the Chernobyl power plant in

Ukraine, DNA deployed a Cherno-

byl Site Restoration Assistance

series. In operation from 1986 to

the present, the program has

achieved significant decontamina-

tion of plutonium mass on the

during the 1962 atmospheric test

sion of a nuclear-armed missile



Construction of BLACKJACK radiation test simulator.



Soviet Yankee/Notch class submarine.

1981–1991 — Force Modernization and the Demise of the Warsaw Pact

agent stocks previously transferred November 1990, chemical weapon In 1986, the Army began construcprogram continues as the primary further erosion by oceanic action. tion of a state-of-the-art chemical Johnston Atoll. That system began stocks in the Federal Republic of processing of nerve and mustard Germany were transferred to the Atoll for destruction. The Army's operational testing in 1990. The chemical agent demilitarization maintaining the facilities on the from Okinawa also began. In Atoll and protecting it against agent incineration system on user of Johnson Atoll.

magnetic (EM) rail gun that fired a ation of ideas to improve the range Nuclear Technology Spinoffs: provided energy sources for evalu-Pulsed power systems developed for nuclear radiation simulators 150-gram projectile at 3.1 kilometers/second, a world record and muzzle velocity of artillery tubes and Navy guns. In a joint program with the SDI office in 1985, DNA tested an electrofor a projectile of that mass.

In a joint program with the guns. This ETC technoloelectrothermal chemical standard Navy five-inch (ETC) projectiles that doubled the range of candidate for up-gun-Naw, DNA developed gy became a leading ning the new DD-51

8-15

Maintenance on ICBM warbeads.

class of Navy destroyers.

sidered for Army artillery and tank ETC technology is also being congun applications.

DNA was assigned responsibility for Europe; i.e., ground-based missiles achievements in this enterprise are technology following the signing of cessful effort to eliminate an entire class of nuclear weapon systems in talks resumed in 1985. The Treaty tion: With NATO force modernizaof 500- to 5,500-kilometer range. perimeter and portal monitoring Arms Control Implementation well underway, arms control on Intermediate Nuclear Forces the design and field testing of a (INF) of 1987 was the first sucsystem and unique identifiers developing treaty verification the INF Treaty. Examples of


dual-use activities.

(tags) to monitor solid rocket motor and RV inventories.

bilateral agreements. DNA studies deployed strategic warheads manprovided an analytical foundation Agency as it implemented inspecdated by START I, and for deeper newly-created On-Site Inspection Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START), and other treaties and for the 40-percent reduction in tions under the INF Treaty, the ONA provided contracting and administrative support to the START II reductions.

Dual-Use Technology: Federal search to provide civilian benefits from technologies developed for national defense purposes. DNA policy encourages dual-use reapplied its expertise, developed nuclear issues, to a number of primarily to address Cold War


DNA's e-SCRUB program employed from hurricanes and other natural pulsed electron beams to remove effects models to predict damage Federal Emergency Management most needed. DNA has also used from coal stack gases. This techsulphur coal in electrical power Agency (FEMA) and other relief disaster relief operations where oxides of sulphur and nitrogen organizations in concentrating phenomena, thus assisting the nuclear effects ground shock nique permits the use of high plants located in sensitive air basins. DNA adapted nuclear



DNA EM rail gun being test fired.

buildings. The results of the radiaconstruction industry on methods to mitigate earthquake damage to research and tools to advise the tion-tolerant microelectronics program have been applied to

computational mesh strategies have been employed to improve weather naturally disturbed environments. civilian spacecraft operating in Finally, advanced numerics and forecasting.





1991 - Post-Cold War Priorities

1991—Post-Cold War Priorities: The collapse of communism is followed by regional conflicts, proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and escalating terrorism on both domestic and international fronts.

1991 - Iraq expelled from Kuwait – Soviet Union dissolved

1992 - Clinton elected President

1993 - START II signed – Israeli/PLO peace accord

1994 - World Trade Center bombing

1995 - Non-Proliferation Treaty extended - Oklahoma City bombing

1996 - DNA becomes Defense Special Weapons Agency (DSWA)

1997 - DSWA celebrates 50th Anniversary

Following the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait in August 1990, a U.S.-led coalition of nations

deployed forces to Saudi

Arabia and surrounding

areas to help prevent further Iraqi offensive incur-

** The Persian Gulf War:

ize WMD targets with minimal and

an improved capability to neutral-

predictable collateral effects. The

operational assets to demonstrate

ment products with existing

Destroyed Iraqi hardened shelter.

rransport supported target planning and consequence assessments during the war. The Agency deployed expert teams to a DNA assessment facility, to DIA Headquarters, and to the Pentagon in support of operational targeting from the start of the air campaign through the expulsion of Iraqi forces from Kuwait. DNA also set up a 24-hour command center to

expelled Iraq's forces from Kuwait

100 hours after the offensive

forces launched a ground offen-

WMD facilities protected by hardened bunkers. Coalition

sive in late February 1991 that

power attacked suspected Iraqi

stealth aircraft was quickly demonstrated. Coalition air

sion-guided munitions and

executing an air campaign against Iraq's military and supporting infrastructure. The effectiveness of preci-

coalition air power began

sions. In January 1991,

assess the consequences of potential WMD warheads on the Scud missiles fraq launched against Saudi Arabia and Israel. DNA provided the results of these assessments to Central Command.

centralized responsibility for DoD counterproliferation research and

NCB). That office was assigned

Biological Defense Programs, or

age Modeling: DNA officers participated in post-war inspections
to validate lethality and survivability models based on wartime
experience. Battle damage assessments suggested new damage
indicators, such as the temperature of target smoke. The

the counterforce elements of the

counterproliferation support program. The centerpiece of

DNA as the lead DoD agency for

DoD's counterproliferation activi-

ties is an Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration

(ACTD), which involves the integration of research and develop-

Agency incorporated lessons from the Persian Gulf War in lethality, survivability, and collateral effects modeling, especially for hardened targets.

Counterproliferation and Counterproliferation: The post-Desert Storm revelations of the breadth and scope of the Iraqi quest to obtain nuclear weapons spawned a heightened awareness of WMD proliferation. DNA began counterproliferation initiatives in

1991. This early planning supported a review of all U.S. nonproliferation and counterproliferation activities that was headed by the (then) Deputy Secretary of Defense, John Deutch. DNA also supported counterproliferation planning by the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Atomic Energy) (ATSD(AE)), now ATSD (Nuclear and Chemical and

on simulated chemical weapon produc-

ion facilities that

tures. Although the counterproliferation ACTD has prompt weapon applications and high target kill probability in common with other similar activities, it is unique in its focus on predicting, minimizing, and measuring post-attack collateral effects. In common with all ACTDs, the counterproliferation ACTD will provide unified commands with weapons, sensors, and other assets that can be used immediately.

early considerations of prolifera-

tion, the ATSD (AE) designated

** Capabilities to Neutralize

development activities.

WMD Proliferation: After the

are protected by hardened struc-

Reduction (CTR) Program: In response to the dangers associated with the potential breakdown of nuclear controls in the Former Soviet Union (FSU), in late 1991 the U.S. embarked on an innovative program of cooperative assistance. Until 1993, the CTR

U.S. European Command
(USEUCOM) is the Operational Manager of the ACTD with
DNA as its Demonstration
Manager. Service and DOE
laboratories are also participating in the ACTD.

Early ACTD activities in 1996
involved live delivery of
inventory weapons against
simulated biological weapon
targets. Post-1996 ACTD
activities will include
more advanced
weapons in attacks

with

Defense Secretary

Rerry and Ukraine

DE Minister of Defense

Flici Shmarov signing

treaty.

1996

Ist 9-12

DNA's expertise in weapons lethality and modeling of atmospheric

Secure Dismantlement Program; it to encourage demilitarization; and mental objectives are: (1) to help chemical weapon destruction; (5) Program." The program's fundaall FSU States but Russia become enhance nuclear safety, security, (6) to extend contacts between START arms reductions; (3) to and control; (4) to initiate FSU non-nuclear; (2) to accelerate the U.S. and FSU defense estabcontinues to be informally re-Program was called the Safe, ferred to as the "Nunn-Lugar lishments.

menting the program, on a cradlearea designed to ensure that threat port and storage of fissile material to projects in the demilitarization reduction efforts are of an endurprojects, ranging from supplying Agency is executing over 50 CTR Russia with containers for trans-In January 1993, the ATSD (AE), assigned DNA the task of impleing, peaceful, and commercially element. As of mid-1996, the to-grave basis, for each CTR viable nature.

nuclear-free status in Ukraine and to do so not later than early 1997; strategic nuclear delivery systems. Illustrative CTR program achieve-Kazakstan, with Belarus expected systems in Russia; realization of 1,200 warheads from deployed ments include removal of over and elimination of many FSU

down: In 1991, President Bush ** Nuclear Stockpile Drawannounced nuclear posture

bombers and Minuteman ICBMs. A weapons from Army bases, surface fanuary 1992 Presidential decision cancellation of mobile basing pro-Cold War. These changes included ments, and the shift of bombers to Range Attack Missile-II (SRAM-II) changes reflecting the end of the laid the groundwork for eventual grams for the Peacekeeper miskeepers, reduced MIRV deployand the Small ICBM; and stand withdrawal of tactical nuclear ships, and attack submarines; sile; cancellation of the Short down from alert of strategic elimination of all 50 Peaceconventional missions.

(NPR) in 1993-1994 that led to a ducted a Nuclear Posture Review definition of an "enduring stock-The Clinton administration conthrough a DNA point-of-contact pile" of nuclear weapons. DNA who coordinated NPR requiresupported the NPR principals ments with DNA analyses and

Safety, and Security: Since 1993, Nuclear Weapons School (DNWS) include courses in counterprolifat Kirtland AFB, New Mexico, for longer nuclear capable, ongoing Although the Army, Marines, and DNA has operated the Defense training is essential to maintain eration and counter-terrorism. ** Training for Reliability, all Services. DNWS has since most Navy commands are no broadened its curriculum to products to meet the NPR needs. B-2 and B-52 strategic bombers, marines (all with D-5 missiles), Minuteman ICBMs, Trident suband a non-nuclear role for the In September 1994, President other activities, and provided strategic force comprised of Clinton approved a reduced

B-1 bomber.

U.S. is a party. Recent verification bilateral agreement to which the virtually every arms control and Expands: The DNA verification technology programs have ex-** Verification Technology panded to include support to technology achievements and

Explosive Blast Classification Level: UNCLASSIFIED

The HE Computational Aid for Windows.

Service competency to operate in nuclear environments.


activities include sensors for Open

gravity gradiometers to characterrelevant to arms control, analyticapabilities to verify the Compre-Skies aircraft, unified databases chemical and biological agents, hensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT). ize START Treaty Limited Items, cal techniques and sensors for and improved seismic sensing

taining the reliability of the endurimproved computational software testing, questions arose on main-** Nuclear Stockpile Stewardadvocated "Science Based Stockship: With the 1993 decision to conditionally cease U.S. nuclear pile Stewardship," in which relithrough stockpile surveillance, ing nuclear stockpile that was defined by the NPR. The DOE laboratory experiments, and ability would be preserved and hardware.

9-14

tion" of weapons remaining in the Nuclear Weapons Council for final officers located at all three nationaction. DNA is a participant in the contribute to the dual revalidation process and annual recertification which each DOE weapons laboracally examines all data relevant to Subsequently, a DoD-DOE agreesults are reviewed by DOE Headtory independently and periodiinventory through a process in a specific weapon type. The rement called for "dual revalidaal laboratories. These officers quarters and provided to the process, with DNA military of the stockpile.



Defense Nuclear Weapons School, Kirtland Air Force Base.

1991 — Post-Cold War Priorities

supercomputers (CDC CYBER 176 The High Performance Computing resources. Since then, Los Alamos tions network providing classified augmented its HPC capability with its own CDC 7600 supercomputer applications is high performance scientific computing resources to 🖘 High Performance Comput since the mid-1970s. In the early buying large blocks of time from in 1984, Cray X-MP in 1988, and computing (HPC) and modeling. From 1980-1983, DNA operated a Cray J90 operating at its headadvanced conventional weapons program has provided advanced years, this was accomplished by Cray M98 in 1994) into its com-DNA's geographically distributed Agency entered into an arrangement with LANL to provide HPC operated a private communica-Agency's nuclear expertise and the nuclear effects community has integrated a series of DNA and unclassified computing to AEC and Service laboratories. ing: The underpinning of the and Communications (HPCC) at Kirtland AFB. In 1983, the putational environment and support sites. In 1995, DNA quarters.

placeable nuclear effects informa-Data and Knowledge Preser. in 1993 as a cohesive program to tion and expertise will survive for vation: Two efforts were initiated Archival and Retrieval Enhanceensure that the legacy of irrefuture generations: the Data

facility's vulnerability to terrorism

knowledge is underway in the new ion and declassification of effects graphs, and video media. Integraupon the authoritative 22-volume preservation achievements in the inventory of waveforms, numeric tables, diagrams, reports, photopublication Science & Technololearned, and integrates the infor-EM-1. The Agency also advances community technology and data 1997. This handbook will draw interprets test data and lessons nation into the DARE database. archival program, Project Grayscheduled for release in early beard, identifies, locates, and Project Graybeard. The DARE retrieves effects data from its EM-1 Technical Handbook, Program locates, stores, and ment (DARE) Program and gy Digest. The second DNA

facilities. Chief among the findings Counterterrorism: As the breadth Cold War expertise was applicable anced Survivability Assessments of are that the judgments regarding a ** Technology Applications for to efforts to counter terrorism. In application to the safeguarding of and scope of terrorism began to critical DoD and federal agency expand in the 1980s, it became U.S. and allied facilities that are particular, DNA expertise in C3 apparent that much of the DNA facility survivability has direct potential terrorist targets. For formed approximately 50 Balexample, the Agency has per-

analytical support was provided to forensic investigations of terrorist aw enforcement agencies during become virtual roadmaps to risk and models originally developed sponse calculations using codes reduction measures. DNA has for nuclear applications. This performed structural blast re-Frade Center and Oklahoma events, including the World City bombings.

DSWA: In 1992 and again in 1993, Congress mandated with DNA as the center of The eventual result was a reaffirmation of the DoD commitment to maintain missions, and functions. ** DNA Transition to and activities in Nuclear reviews of DNA's roles, matters, including CTR nuclear competencies excellence for the De-Stockpile Stewardship. DNA also gained reoartment's nuclear

hat take advantage of the Agency nuclear heritage. The traditional tasks, were institutionalized in a Subsequently, the Agency reorgateamwork. The Agency was reti away from Cold War traditions, ONA roles, along with the new nuclear development activities and to foster coordination and nized to improve service to its customers, to implement total quality management, to break new charter issued in 1995. sponsibility for non-

tled DSWA during ceremonies on June 26, 1996, culminating the Agency's evolution in the Post-Cold War environment.

data obtained from DSWA's Per-

programs with scientific institu-Kazakstan. These programs in-Joint Science Programs: tions in Russia, Ukraine, and DSWA sponsors joint science

9-15

manent High Explosives Test Site effects tests. DSWA's White Sands (PHETS) at White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico. Since 1988, facilities were also employed by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco there has been more than a 30and Firearms (ATF) in tests to create a computerized fold increase in conventional

blast and thermal environments of nuclear weapons with yields world. LBTS can replicate the database and investigative **DSWA** and the Army at White (LBTS), operated jointly by protocol for law enforcelarge-scale vehicle bomb investigations. The Large Blast /Thermal Simulator ment agencies to use in argest shock tube in the Sands since 1994, is the from one to 600 kilotons.

DSWA successfully transitioned into current and future national security vainstakingly acquired over the last DSWA's predecessors was effective environment, including the preserand timely response to the dynam-** Current and Future Challenges: One of the hallmarks of now postured for future achieveexternal environments. Over the the Post-Cold War era. DSWA is vation of core competencies so ics of the Agency's internal and five years of this era, DNA and nents directly relevant to the 50 years.



Science & Technology Digest.

clude an evaluation of the Russian the use of advanced computation energy, applications of energetic on nuclear weapons effects, and materials, comparative findings Topaz reactor for thermionic al techniques.

Munitions Effectiveness: Munitions effectiveness assessment modeling uses empirical

Future Challenges



Major General Gary Curtin, DSWA Director.

- identify some of the key factors that Ve Looking Abead: While no one mission over the next half-century. are likely to impact the Agency's can predict the future, we can
- deliver WMD nuclear, radiolog-** There will be WMD: Since the end of the Cold War, technologies warning will persist. Planning for nate WMD, the capability to pro-Even if all states agreed to elimithat enable state and non-state weapons — have proliferated. ical, chemical, and biological organizations to develop and duce such devices with little

capabilities. Moreover, U.S. citizens major contingencies will necessarithat antagonists could have WMD ly have to consider the possibility WMD incidents, even though the U.S. is not involved as a protagomay find themselves exposed to

- future, there is little likelihood of a and remediation of the human and as CTR in the former Soviet Union ever, some Cold War-related tasks ranging from further proliferation resurgence of the Cold War. Howand resources for programs such environmental legacies of nuclear and eventual use of nuclear weap will continue to engage our time there are a number of scenarios, 💝 Many Nuclear Futures are ons in a regional conflict, to the weapons. Over the longer term, politically unacceptable option. control, making use of WMD a alternative of success in arms Possible: For the foreseeable
 - different. Current national policies nuclear weapons will continue to 💝 Nuclear Weapons Will Conclared position of the U.S. being that our nuclear capabilities are are likely to persist, with the de-Throughout most of the world, be perceived as fundamentally tinue to be Special Weapons:

relevant only in confrontations with to support deterrence and terminastates. Our emphasis will continue to be on planning military options continue to be the highest imperacollateral hazards. Safety, security, and positive political control will other nuclear-weapons-capable tion of hostilities, with considerable emphasis on minimizing

- capabilities, leaders in other states electronics (precluding U.S. inforacquisition of nuclear weapons as mation dominance) or to directly Different Sense: Unable to match may regard the development and nance. In a regional conflict, they conventional operations, such as 💝 Others May Regard Nuclear U.S. economic strength, political power or conventional weapons Weapons as Being Special in a weapons to disrupt communicaattack critical nodes supporting may be tempted to use nuclear tions and damage unprotected an acceptable path to strategic equivalence or regional domiports and airfields.
- the U.S. and Russian nuclear stockenvironment, a downsized DoD will * Nuclear Force Structures Will the absence of a Cold War strategic piles will decline. Fewer forces will have nuclear delivery capability. In Be Less Prominent: The size of

dential direction. The United States resources in maintaining nuclear invest a smaller percentage of its Earlier, nuclear weapons testing was already prohibited by Presinuclear capabilities will be an unacceptable political option. capabilities. Developing new

is now party to the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty. Now, other means

> the broadening of the Agency's role ⋄ A Paradigm Shift: At the end excellence for nuclear and other results from this reevaluation was emphasis on technical and operand its redesignation as DSWA. In requirements for the future were which emphasized the need for it special weapons matters, with "to serve as the DoD center of sharply reassessed. One of the preparing for its new role, the of the Cold War, DoD nuclear Agency adopted a new vision ational support to the war-

- 💝 Center for Nuclear Expertise: protecting a core capability within there is less emphasis on nuclear In a world environment in which matters, the need to continue DoD is clear.
- critical mission of safeguarding In assuming that role, DSWA will continue to perform a

confidence in the stockpile and to nuclear threats posed by proliferwill have to be used to guarantee ensure forces can withstand the ant nations or groups.



Dr. George Ullrich, DSWA Deputy Director

aspects of nuclear hardness. nuclear core competencies. This will require continued weapons effects, as well as work on modeling nuclear understanding the various

petencies are related directly to programs. For example, the use non-nuclear advanced weapon Many of the key nuclear com-

Future Challenges

of DSWA expertise in plasma and computational physics will contribute to future advances in ETC and EM artillery projectile technology.

- Maintaining nuclear effects test and simulation capabilities will also be a core strategic mission executed by DSWA. This will include sustaining DoD's capability to resume underground nuclear effects testing, if so directed by the President.
- database developed during nuclear testing and apply this information to meet DoD needs. World-class computational capabilities will be upgraded to support operational research and development requirements.
- DoW stockpile Stewardship:

 DSWA will also serve as the lead
 DoD agency for long-term nuclear
 weapons stockpile stewardship.
- DSWA experts will continue to be assigned to the DOE laboratories in support of the DOE Science-Based Stockpile Stewardship Program (Dual Revalidation) and the joint DoD/DOE Annual Stockpile Certification.

- DSWA will provide support to DoD components concerning reliability, safety, security, use control, and explosive ordnance disposal of nuclear weapons.
- Members of the DSWA team will provide emergency response support for weapons-related incidents worldwide.
 - DSWA Field Command will provide nuclear weapons technical inspections, quality assurance programs and logistics management support for the stockpile under DoD control.
- will continue to provide strong analytical support to the Services and Unified Commands worldwide
- DSWA will push to develop technologies that will facilitate counterforce actions against mobile and hardened targets, especially where WMD are likely to be involved.
- Specific areas of emphasis are likely to include peacetime planning assistance, as well as direct technical support during contingencies, such as Desert

Storm, to identify what must be done to defeat hardened targets, forecast collateral hazards, support counterproliferation, and provide counterterrorism technology.

technologies for use in arms

control monitoring.

Technical collaboration with

DSWA is likely to continue as

the lead DoD agency for

developing and validating

DSWA will also continue to provide strong support for U.S. STRATCOM as it carries out its nuclear contingency planning responsibilities.

ikely to continue in line with

national policy direction.

matters of mutual interest is

other nations for work on

- Implementation: Because of its program management and contracting expertise, DSWA will continue to serve as the DoD agent for numerous programs of national interest.
- DSWA will be the principal agent to carry out CTR programs to reduce the risks associated with the Former Soviet Union's nuclear, biological, and chemical capabilities. As national policy evolves with respect to CTR, so will DSWA's implementation program. If other potential proliferants eliminate their WMD stockpiles, additional CTR programs may be established.

Finally, DSWA is likely to be tasked to support the Office of the Secretary of Defense and other authorities in management of the human health and environmental consequences that have resulted from nuclear and other designated activities.



Photograph Credits

Russian/American soldiers-Peacekeeper '95 Peacekeeper missile (DSWA/DASIAC photo) NBC-suited students (DSWA/DASIAC photo) Soldiers and cloud (DSWA/DASIAC photo) exercise (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

Table of Contents

1-1: DISTANT IMAGE HE detonation, (DSWA/ DASIAC) photo)

Introduction

- 2-1: Sec. Perry (DoD photo).
- 2-2: TRINITY site (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

Dawn of the Atomic Age

- 3-1: Los Alamos West Gate, Los Alamos National Laboratory photo.
- Roosevelt Library photo, Hyde Park, NY. 3-2: Roosevelt/Churchill/Stalin, Franklin D.
 - 3-3: B-29, B-29: Superfortress at War, pg.
- 3-4: New York Times, Aug. 7, 1945, The New York Times Company.
 - 3-5: VJ Day, Alfred Eisenstaedt-LIFE, from 200 Years-A Bicentennial Illustrated History, pg. 207.
 - Encylopedia of World War II, Vol. 22, 3-6: Japanese surrender ceremony, pg. 3024.
- 3-7: Oppenheimer/Groves (DSWA/DASIAC photo)
- 3-9: Einstein/Oppenheimer, Alfred Eisenstaedt Colorado Springs, CO. 1988, pg. 3-36. Reflections of a Nuclear Weaponeer, 3-8: Gadget drawing, Frank Shelton,
 - Books-Time-Life, Inc., 1973, pg. 236. 3-10: TRINITY HE test stack, (DSWA/DASIAC LIFE, from The Best of Life, Avon
- 3-11: TRINITY test, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

Early Years of AFSWP

- 200 Years A Bicentennial Illustrated 4-1: Berlin airlift, Walter Sanders-LIFE, from History, pg. 233.
- 4-2: Korea map, Our Times, Century Books Inc., 1995, pg. 394.
- 4-3: Truman with premature election results, The Best of LIFE, pg. 86.
 - 4-4: Mk-6 nuclear bomb, (DSWA/DASIAC

- 4-5: Computer, Dark Sun, Simon & Schuster, New York, 1995, pg. 50.
- 4-6: Teller, Los Alamos National Laboratory
- 4-8: Nixon/Eisenhower, UPI photo from Our 4-7: MacArthur, LIFE: The Fifties, pg. 423.
 - 4-9: Event DOG blast, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). Glorious Century, pg. 270.
 - 4-11: GRABLE test, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 4-10: Firing party, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).
- 4-12: Tank in Korea, Cbronicle of America,
- 4-14: Personnel observing test, (DSWA/DASIAC 4-13: Area 7, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).
- 4-15: Operation GREENHOUSE, (DSWA/DASIAC

photo).

- 4-16: Pilot protection, (DSWA/DASIAC photo) 4-17: Blast on structures, (DSWA/DASIAC
 - photo).
 - - 4-18: Blast on structures, (DSWA/DASIAC
- 4-20: Aircraft washdown, (DSWA/DASIAC 4-19: MIKE, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). photo).

Discovery

- 5-1: Sputnik model, Our Glorious Century, pg.
- 5-2: Nixon/Kennedy, Time-Warner Inc. photo from Our Glorious Century, pg. 305. 5-3: Fallout shelter/sign, LIFE The First Fifty
- 5-4: Kennedy, UPI/Bettmann archives, from *The*Way We Were, Caroll & Graff Publishers, Inc., New York, 1988, pg. 65.

Years, pg. 159.

5-5: New York Times, A Newspaper History of the World, pg. 150.

5-6: Castro/Khruschev, Reader's Digest, pg. 50.

- 5-7: TRUCKEE Event, (DSWA/DASIAC photo) 5-8: Glenn, Our Glorious Century, pg. 50.
- 5-10: Berlin Wall, American Forces in Berlin, Department of Defense, GPO, 1994. pg. 5-9: U-2, Our Glorious Century, pg. 295.
- 5-11: Redstone missile, Reflections, pg. 8-35. 5-12: SAC B-52, (DSWA/DASIAC photo)
 - 5-13: Nike-Hercules missile, (DSWA/DASIAC
- 5-14: Khruschev, Carl Mydans-LIFE, from The Best of Life, pp. 84, 85.

- 5-15: RB-57D aircraft, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 5-16: BRAVO test, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).
- 5-17: TEAPOT MET, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 5-18: Decontamination, (DSWA/DASIAC
- 5-20: Kennedy signs LTBT, The Way We Were, 5-19: Johnston Atoll, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

Strategic Deterrence

- 6-1: JFK funeral, Our Times, pg. 464.
- 5-2: Anti-war poster, Winds of Change: 1960-1970, Time/Life, Alexandria, Virginia
- 5-3: Johnson, Bettmann archives, from Our Times, pg. 819.
- 5-4: M.L. King, LIFE: The Sixties, Doris O'Neill editor, Time Inc., 1989, pg. 50.
 - 6-6: Vietnam Huey gunship, *The Vietnam War* 6-5: Vietnam soldier, LIFE: The Sixties, pg.
- (Helicopter War), Marshall Cavendish, Ltd., 1988, pg. 15.
 - 6-7: New York Times, July 21, 1969, The New York Times Company.
- 6-9: Nixon, Our Glorious Century, pg. 50. 6-8: Aldrin on moon, NASA photo.
- 6-10: Protest, Jane & Michael Stern, Sixties People, Alfred A. Knopf, New York, 1990, pg. 195.

7-15: CACTUS crater dome, (DSWA/DASIAC 7-16: HURON KING, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

7-14: Silo test, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

- 6-11: Anti-war button, Winds of Change:
- 6-12: SAGE Panel, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 1960-1970, pg. 31.

7-18: Pershing battery, (DSWA/DASIAC photo)

7-19: HE event, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

7-20: Trident, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

7-17: HURON KING inset, (DSWA/DASIAC

- 6-13: McNamara/Rusk, (DSWA/DASIAC photo) 6-14: Spartan missile, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).
 - 6-15: UGT, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

7-21: EMP simulation, (DSWA/DASIAC photo)

- 6-16: HUDSON SEAL RV, (DSWA/DASIAC
- 6-17: Tritium facility, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 6-18: SAILOR HAT, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 6-19: SALLOR HAT, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 6-20: DIAMOND SCULLS, (DSWA/DASIAC
- 6-21: SEDAN crater, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 6-22: Glasstone volume, (DSWA/DASIAC

Deterrence with Nuclear Parity

8-6: Berlin wall, Our Glorious Century, pg.

8-5: Bush, White House photo.

8-7: Gorbachev, Our Times, pg. 435.

7-1: Commemorative stamp, *LIFE* - *The Seventies*, pg. 50.

7-2: "The Morning News," Front Page History,

8-9: Space Shuttle, National Geographic, from

Our Glorious Century, pg. 417.

8-8: USS America, Our Times, pg. 662.

8-10: Peacekeeper missile, (DSWA/DASIAC

7-3: Munich Olympics, Our Glorious Century,

GA, from The Official 1977 Inaugural

Book, Bantam, 1977, pg. 71. 7-5: Walesa, Our Glorious Century,

7-4: Carter, The Jimmy Carter Library, Atlanta

- 8-12: BLACKJACK simulator, (DSWA/DASIAC 8-11: Microchip, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).
- 8-13: Soviet submarine, (DoD photo). 8-14: SDI test, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

7-6: Khomeni, Harold Evans, Front Page History, Salem House, Salem, New

Hampshire, 1984. pg. 179. 7-7: Concorde, British Airways.

(Wesolowski/Sygma), pg. 421.

- 8-15: ICBM warheads, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 8-16: Rail gun, (DSWA/DASIAC photo)
 - 8-17: EMPRESS test, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

Post-Cold War Priorities

7-9: Gas shortage sign, Front Page History, pg. 7-10: America's 200th, Our Glorious Century,

7-8: Three Mile Island, Our Times, pg. 863.

- 9-1: Clinton, Reuters, from Our Glorious 9-2: Hussein, Nita M. Renfrew, Saddam Century, pg. 435.
- Hussein, Chelsea House Publishers, 1992, pg. 80.

Thomas Hoobler, *Vietnam: Why We Forgot*, Alfred A. Knopf, New York, 1990.

7-11: Vietnam evacuation, Dorothy and

pg. 369.

7-12: Afghanistan rebel, Front Page History,

Bicentennial Illustrated History, pg.

7-13: Nixon/Chou En-lai, 200 Years-A

pg. 50.

- 9-3: Powell, Associated Press, Wide World
 - 9-4: F-117, (DoD photo).
- Company (from the April 20, 1995 issue copyright 1995, Oklahoma Publishing 9-5: Oklahoma City bombing, by Jim Argo, of The Daily Oklahoman).
- 9-6: Yelsin, Our Glorious Century, pg. 423. 9-7: Bosnia map, Our Times, pg. 662.
- 9-8: Soldier with laptop, Strategic Assessments Institute for National Strategic Studies, 1996, National Defense University, GPO, 1996, pg. 81.
 - Gulf, Turner Publishing Inc., 1991, pg. 9-9: Kuwait citizen, Santiago Iyon/Reuters/ Bettmann archives, from War in the
- 9-10: Schwartzkopf, War in the Gulf, pg. 104.
 - 9-11: Iraqi shelter, (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

8-1: Challenger, National Geographic, from

Force Modernization

Our Glorious Century, pg. 417.

- 9-13: DNWS, (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 9-12: Treaty signing, (DoD photo).
- 9-15: S&TD (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

9-14: Computational aids, (DSWA/DASIAC

Future Challenges

Department of Defense, GPO, 1989, pg.

8-4: Delta II Rocket, Soviet Military Power,

8-3: Tiananmen Square, Our Glorious

Century, pg. 421.

8-2: Reagans, Our Times, pg. 869.

10-1: Maj. Gen. Curtin (DSWA/DASIAC photo). 10-2: Dr. Ullrich (DSWA/DASIAC photo).

Acronym List

DSWA - Defense Special Weapons DoD - Department of Defense DOE - Department of Energy DSCS - Defense Satellite (Nuclear and Chemical and Technology Demonstration ATF - Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco AEC - Atomic Energy Commission Military Command Center CINCEUR - Commander in Chief, AFSWP - Armed Forces Special Radiobiology Research ATSD (NCB) - Assistant to the BMO - Ballistic Missile Office C3 - Command, Control, and ATSD (AE) - Assistant to the CINC - Commander in Chief Secretary of Defense Secretary of Defense ABM - Anti-Ballistic Missile ANMCC - Alternate National ARPA - Advanced Research ACTD - Advanced Concept Biological Defense Communications Weapons Project (Atomic Energy) Projects Agency AFRRI- Armed Forces and Firearms AFB - Air Force Base Programs) Europe Institute

HML - Hardened Mobile Launcher HEST - High Explosive Simulation GLCM - Ground Launched Cruise HASP - High Altitude Sampling Management Agency FEMA - Federal Emergency FSU - Former Soviet Union HPCC - High Performance HPC - High Performance Computing and HILAT - High Latitude HF - High Frequency HE - High Explosive Computing HA - High Altitude Technique Program Missile DARE - Data Archival and Retrieval Information Analysis Center DNWS - Defense Nuclear Weapons Research and Engineering DIA- Defense Intelligence Agency (formerly Defense Atomic DASA- Defense Atomic Support DNA - Defense Nuclear Agency DIHEST - Direct-Induced High DEW - Distant Early Warning DDR&E - Director, Defense **Explosive Simulation** Support Information CTR - Cooperative Threat Analysis Center) DASIAC - DoD Nuclear Enhancement Technique Reduction School

INF - Intermediate Nuclear Forces ICBM - Intercontinental Ballistic JSTPS - Joint Strategic Target JCS - Joint Chiefs of Staff Communications Planning Staff DODDAC - Department of Defense Damage Assessment Center

LANL - Los Alamos National JTF - Joint Task Force Laboratory

Communication System

LLNL - Lawrence Livermore LBTS - Large Blast/Thermal National Laboratory Simulator LTBT - Limited Test Ban Treaty MC - Military Committee

EMPRESS - EMP Radiation Effects

Simulator for Ships

EMP- Electromagnetic Pulse

EM-1 - Effects Manual-1

CINCPAC - Commander in Chief,

Pacific

COMSAT - Communications

Satellite

EM - Electromagnetic

Agency

ETC - Electrothermal Chemical

CTBT - Comprehensive Test Ban

MED- Manhattan Engineer District NARP - Nuclear Weapon Accident Targeted Reentry Vehicle MIRV - Multiple Independently Response Procedure NATO - North Atlantic Treaty Organization Biological

Agency

SHAPE - Supreme Headquarters

Allied Powers Europe

Operational Plan SIOP - Single Integrated

Ballistic Missile

Missile-II

Electromagnetic Pulse


SGEMP - System Generated

START - Strategic Arms Reduction SWEG - Special Weapons Effects TAPS - Targeting and Planning SRAM-II - Short Range Attack SLBM - Submarine Launched SPO - System Program Office TEMPS - Transportable EMP STRATCOM - U.S. Strategic STP - Silo Test Program NUWAX - Nuclear Weapon Accident Research and Development OSD - Office of the Secretary of NSC - National Security Council NTPR - Nuclear Test Personnel NPR - Nuclear Posture Review NCB - Nuclear, Chemical and OSRD - Office of Scientific PHETS - Permanent High NTS - Nevada Test Site Exercise Defense Review

Command

System

TTCP - The Technical Coordinating VNTK - Vulnerability Number/Type USAF - United States Air Force **European Command** (of Target)/K-factor **USEUCOM** - United States WMD - Weapons of Mass UGT - Underground Test UN - United Nations U.S. - United States Destruction Simulator SAGE - Scientific Advisory Group SDI - Strategic Defense Initiative SALT - Strategic Arms Limitation psi - pounds per square inch SAC - Strategic Air Command Commander Europe **Explosives Test Site** SACEUR - Supreme Allied RV - Reentry Vehicle RB - Reentry Body on Effects



— Defense Special Weapons Agency, 6801 Telegraph Road, Alexandria, Virginia 22310-3398 —